Ascendia: Journal of Economic and Business Advancement
Vol 1 No 4 June 2026, Hal 13-22
ISSN: 3110-8229 (Print) ISSN: 3110-6994 (Electronic)
Open Access: https://scriptaintelektual.com/ascendia/index

Impact of Work Discipline on Increasing Employee Work Productivity

Nurul Amien Rosadisyam[1*], Mahjudin[2], Enny Istanti[3], Rina Dewi[4], Achmad Daengs GS[5]
[1,4,5] Universitas 45 Surabaya, Indonesia
[2] Universitas Muhammadiyah Gresik, Indonesia
[3] Universitas Bhayangkara Surabaya, Indonesia
Email: *jurnaleko45@gmail.com*[1]

Article Info :
Received:
11-02-2026
Revised:
22-02-2026
Accepted:
03-03-2026

Abstract

This study investigates the impact of work discipline on increasing employee work productivity within a corporate setting using an empirical quantitative approach. The research was conducted at PT. Wira Nusa Abadi Surabaya, employing a census method involving 55 employees as respondents. Data were collected through structured questionnaires measuring work discipline and employee productivity using Likert-scale indicators. Statistical analysis was performed using simple linear regression to estimate the functional relationship between discipline and productivity, supported by classical assumption testing to ensure model validity. The regression results indicate a positive coefficient of 0.540, suggesting that improvements in work discipline are associated with increased productivity levels. Hypothesis testing using a one-tailed t-test at a 0.05 significance level produced a calculated t-value of 3.507, exceeding the critical value of 2.006, thereby confirming statistical significance. These findings demonstrate that work discipline functions as a measurable determinant of employee productivity and provides empirical support for managerial policies emphasizing structured behavioral compliance to enhance organizational performance.

Keywords: *Work Discipline, Employee Productivity, Regression Analysis, Hypothesis Testing, Human Resource Management.*

INTRODUCTION

The intensification of global competition, digital transformation, and the reconfiguration of work arrangements in the post-pandemic era have fundamentally altered how organizations conceptualize productivity and workforce governance, positioning work discipline as a central mechanism through which firms attempt to secure sustainable performance advantages. In contemporary human resource management discourse, discipline is no longer interpreted narrowly as punitive compliance, but rather as a structured system of norms, behavioral standards, and accountability mechanisms that align individual conduct with organizational objectives (Dessler, 2006; Simamora, 2004). As multinational enterprises and emerging-market firms alike confront volatility in labor markets and rising performance pressures, the capacity to institutionalize consistent work discipline becomes intertwined with macro-level productivity agendas and micro-level behavioral regulation. Empirical investigations in various sectors—including education, public administration, and private enterprises—have increasingly linked disciplined work behavior to enhanced employee output, suggesting that productivity is contingent not merely upon skill endowments but also upon adherence to procedural and temporal standards (Bahasoan & Baharuddin, 2023; Hayati & Farisi, 2025). This convergence of global economic imperatives and organizational governance concerns situates work discipline at the intersection of strategic human capital management and operational performance optimization.

A growing body of empirical literature has examined the relationship between work discipline and performance-related outcomes, often demonstrating statistically significant associations that reinforce its managerial relevance. Studies in educational institutions report that work discipline, in conjunction with motivation and leadership, exerts a positive effect on teacher performance and job satisfaction, indicating that disciplined behavioral norms can reinforce task completion and

accountability (Amini et al., 2022; Andrianto et al., 2023; Atika et al., 2022). Similar patterns emerge in public-sector and healthcare contexts, where disciplined adherence to procedures and work standards correlates with improved employee performance metrics (Costinot & Bahmani-Oskooee, 2023). More recent analyses extend these findings by emphasizing productivity outcomes, arguing that discipline functions as a mediating behavioral mechanism through which compensation, motivation, and self-efficacy translate into measurable work output (Lestari et al., 2024; Hayati & Farisi, 2025). Quantitative evidence employing regression-based approaches further confirms that work discipline significantly predicts employee productivity levels, as illustrated in organizational case studies using structured survey instruments and inferential statistics (Fauziah et al., 2025). Taken collectively, these studies substantiate the proposition that disciplined work behavior constitutes a critical explanatory variable in performance and productivity models.

Despite the accumulation of supportive findings, the extant literature exhibits several conceptual and empirical limitations that constrain theoretical advancement. First, many studies operationalize work discipline in a relatively narrow manner, focusing predominantly on attendance, punctuality, or rule compliance, while under-theorizing its multidimensional character as a construct encompassing internalized norms, self-regulation, and organizational control systems (Dessler, 2006; Simamora, 2004). Second, a substantial proportion of empirical work embeds discipline within broader models that prioritize motivation, leadership, or job satisfaction, thereby treating discipline as an ancillary predictor rather than as a focal explanatory construct with independent causal pathways (Amini et al., 2022; Andrianto et al., 2023; Atika et al., 2022). Third, methodological approaches frequently rely on cross-sectional survey data within single institutional settings, limiting generalizability and obscuring potential contextual contingencies. Even studies explicitly addressing productivity often conflate performance and productivity without rigorously distinguishing between efficiency-based and output-based indicators (Fauziah et al., 2025; Bahasoan & Baharuddin, 2023). Such tendencies generate inconsistencies in measurement and interpretation, leaving unresolved questions regarding the magnitude, mechanisms, and boundary conditions of the discipline–productivity nexus.

The persistence of these gaps carries significant scientific and practical implications, particularly in environments where organizations seek to reconcile flexibility with control. In the absence of robust conceptual clarity, managerial interventions aimed at strengthening discipline risk devolving into coercive compliance systems that may undermine intrinsic motivation or organizational commitment, as suggested by the intertwined dynamics of discipline, satisfaction, and commitment documented in prior research (Atika et al., 2022; Lestari et al., 2024). From a policy perspective, understanding whether and how work discipline directly enhances productivity, rather than merely correlating with broader performance indicators, becomes essential for designing evidence-based human resource strategies that optimize resource allocation (Husein Umar, 1997). Furthermore, given that human resources constitute the primary drivers of organizational competitiveness, systematic inquiry into the behavioral determinants of productivity acquires heightened urgency amid escalating economic uncertainty and performance accountability pressures (Simamora, 2004; Hayati & Farisi, 2025). The unresolved conceptual and empirical ambiguities therefore represent not only an academic shortcoming but also a strategic vulnerability for organizations striving to enhance sustainable productivity.

Within this intellectual landscape, the present study positions itself by isolating work discipline as a principal explanatory variable in the analysis of employee work productivity, rather than subsuming it under broader attitudinal or leadership constructs. Drawing upon established human resource management theory that conceptualizes discipline as a structured system of behavioral regulation (Dessler, 2006) and integrating contemporary empirical insights on its performance implications (Fauziah et al., 2025; Bahasoan & Baharuddin, 2023), this research advances a more focused causal inquiry into how disciplined work behavior translates into quantifiable productivity outcomes. By employing primary survey data and inferential statistical modeling, the study seeks to clarify the direct magnitude of the discipline effect while controlling for alternative explanations embedded in prior multivariate models. In doing so, it responds to calls for more precise operationalization and independent testing of discipline constructs that have previously been intertwined with motivation, leadership, or satisfaction variables (Amini et al., 2022; Andrianto et al., 2023).

This study aims to empirically examine the impact of work discipline on increasing employee work productivity through a rigorous quantitative design that enables precise estimation of causal relationships. Theoretically, it contributes by refining the conceptualization of work discipline as a

distinct behavioral governance mechanism with direct productivity implications, thereby strengthening the explanatory architecture of human resource management theory. Methodologically, it advances the literature by implementing systematic measurement, regression-based hypothesis testing, and transparent statistical inference to establish the robustness of the discipline–productivity relationship. Through this integrated approach, the research aspires to enrich scholarly understanding and provide empirically grounded insights for organizations seeking to design disciplined yet performance-enhancing work systems.

RESEARCH METHODS

This study adopts an empirical, quantitative research design aimed at testing the causal effect of work discipline on employee work productivity within a corporate setting. The research was conducted at PT. Wira Nusa Abadi Surabaya, with the population comprising all employees actively working during the period of data collection. Given the relatively limited organizational size, a census approach was employed, resulting in a final sample of 55 employees who participated by completing structured questionnaires. Primary data were collected through self-administered survey instruments designed to capture perceptions of work discipline and self-reported productivity levels. The independent variable, work discipline, was operationalized as the degree of compliance with organizational rules, punctuality, attendance consistency, and adherence to established work procedures. The dependent variable, employee work productivity, was defined as the extent to which employees effectively and efficiently accomplish assigned tasks in accordance with predetermined performance standards. Both constructs were measured using multi-item indicators adapted to the organizational context and structured on a Likert-type scale to ensure quantifiability and comparability across respondents.

The measurement model was evaluated through reliability and validity testing prior to hypothesis examination, including internal consistency assessment using Cronbach's alpha coefficients and item-total correlation analysis to ensure construct adequacy. Descriptive statistics were first computed to summarize respondent characteristics and variable distributions, followed by inferential analysis employing simple linear regression to estimate the functional relationship between work discipline (X) and employee work productivity (Y). The regression equation was specified as $Y = \alpha + \beta X + \varepsilon$, where βrepresents the magnitude and direction of the discipline effect. Hypothesis testing was conducted using the t-test to determine the statistical significance of the regression coefficient at a predefined significance level. Classical assumption tests were performed to verify the robustness of the model, including normality testing of residuals, homoscedasticity assessment, and linearity evaluation, thereby ensuring compliance with ordinary least squares (OLS) requirements. All statistical procedures were processed using SPSS software to enhance computational accuracy and analytical transparency.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Measurement Model Evaluation: Validity and Reliability of Research Instruments

The empirical assessment began with evaluating the construct validity of the measurement instruments to ensure that each item accurately represented the theoretical dimensions of work discipline and employee productivity. Validity testing was conducted using item-total correlation analysis with a minimum threshold of 0.30, as recommended in quantitative research methodology (Husein Umar, 1997). This procedure aligns with the principles of human resource measurement emphasizing conceptual precision in organizational studies (Dessler, 2006). Establishing adequate validity is critical in behavioral research to reduce measurement bias and strengthen causal inference.

The results of the validity analysis demonstrate that all questionnaire items for both variables exceeded the required correlation coefficient threshold. For the work discipline variable, correlation values ranged from 0.349 to 0.623, indicating acceptable to strong item discrimination. For employee work productivity, correlation values ranged from 0.396 to 0.754, reflecting robust alignment between indicators and the underlying construct. These findings confirm that each indicator meaningfully contributes to explaining the latent variables in accordance with established measurement standards (Malayu SP Hasibuan, 2004).

Table 1. Validity Test Results for Work Discipline and Employee Productivity

Variable	Item	Correlation Coefficient	Interpretation
X (Work Discipline)	X1	0.349	Valid
	X2	0.446	Valid
	X3	0.623	Valid
	X4	0.404	Valid
	X5	0.495	Valid
	X6	0.559	Valid
Y (Employee Productivity)	Y1	0.568	Valid
	Y2	0.609	Valid
	Y3	0.754	Valid
	Y4	0.498	Valid
	Y5	0.396	Valid
	Y6	0.627	Valid

Source: Processed primary data (SPSS output).

The statistical evidence in Table 1 indicates that the strongest indicator for productivity was item Y3 with a coefficient of 0.754, suggesting a particularly strong conceptual representation of task interrelation within the organization. Meanwhile, the lowest valid coefficient was observed in item X1 at 0.349, yet it remained above the minimum criterion and therefore acceptable. Variability in correlation strength reflects differences in perceptual intensity across indicators, a common phenomenon in organizational behavior research (Rivai, 2005). The consistent validity across all items confirms that the operationalization of both constructs is empirically defensible.

Following validity confirmation, reliability testing was conducted using Cronbach's alpha to assess internal consistency. Reliability is essential in empirical research because it ensures stability and consistency of responses across repeated measurements (Henry Simamora, 2004). In human resource studies, a Cronbach's alpha value above 0.60 is generally considered acceptable for exploratory and applied organizational research (M. Manullang & Marihot Manullang, 2001). This benchmark was adopted to evaluate the robustness of the measurement scale.

The reliability analysis shows that work discipline achieved a Cronbach's alpha of 0.8260, indicating high internal consistency among its indicators. Employee productivity produced an alpha value of 0.6102, which, although lower, still surpassed the minimum acceptable threshold. The higher reliability of the discipline construct suggests relatively homogeneous perceptions among employees regarding compliance and adherence behaviors. Such consistency is theoretically aligned with structured organizational control systems described in strategic HRM literature (Usmara, 2002).

Table 2. Reliability Test Results

Variable	Cronbach's Alpha	Critical Value	Interpretation
X (Work Discipline)	0.8260	0.6	Reliable
Y (Employee Productivity)	0.6102	0.6	Reliable

Source: Processed primary data (SPSS output).

The reliability coefficients presented in Table 2 confirm that both constructs meet acceptable internal consistency standards. The relatively moderate alpha value for productivity may reflect multidimensional task characteristics inherent in productivity measurement (Dessler, 2006). Productivity perceptions often integrate cognitive understanding, skill mastery, and target clarity, which can introduce response variability. Despite this variability, the coefficient remains statistically acceptable and supports continued inferential analysis.

The establishment of valid and reliable instruments strengthens the empirical integrity of the regression model used to test the hypothesized causal relationship. Measurement adequacy is a prerequisite for estimating unbiased regression parameters in OLS analysis. Empirical HR research consistently emphasizes that inaccurate measurement can attenuate observed relationships between discipline and performance outcomes (Malayu SP Hasibuan, 2004). The confirmed psychometric properties reduce such risk in this study.

The findings correspond with prior empirical investigations demonstrating the importance of rigorous measurement validation in organizational research (Amini et al., 2022). Validity and reliability provide the methodological foundation necessary to interpret statistical relationships meaningfully. Without adequate measurement properties, regression coefficients could reflect random variance rather than substantive behavioral patterns. The current evaluation confirms that the constructs are empirically sound.

From a managerial perspective, the strong reliability of discipline suggests that rule compliance and procedural adherence are clearly institutionalized within the company. Structured enforcement and consistent communication of standards contribute to perceptual alignment among employees (Rivai, 2005). Such alignment forms the basis for testing its potential causal influence on productivity outcomes. The subsequent regression analysis is therefore grounded in statistically verified measurement quality. The overall measurement evaluation indicates methodological rigor consistent with quantitative empirical research standards. The instruments successfully capture theoretical constructs derived from human resource management frameworks. This methodological robustness ensures that subsequent hypothesis testing reflects genuine organizational dynamics rather than measurement artifacts.

Regression Analysis: Estimating the Effect of Work Discipline on Employee Productivity

The inferential stage of the analysis employed simple linear regression to estimate the functional relationship between work discipline and employee work productivity within the observed organizational context. This approach is consistent with empirical quantitative designs seeking to test directional causal hypotheses through Ordinary Least Squares estimation (Husein Umar, 1997). The regression specification followed the model $Y = \alpha + \beta X + \varepsilon$, where the slope coefficient represents the magnitude and direction of the discipline effect. Such modeling is widely applied in human resource management research to quantify behavioral determinants of performance outcomes (Dessler, 2006).

The estimated regression equation generated from SPSS output is expressed as Y = 1.506 + 0.540X, indicating a positive linear association between discipline and productivity. The constant value of 1.506 reflects the predicted productivity level when discipline is hypothetically zero, serving as a statistical intercept rather than a practical organizational scenario. The slope coefficient of 0.540 demonstrates that each one-unit increase in work discipline corresponds to a 0.540-unit increase in employee productivity scores. This positive directional coefficient aligns with classical HRM theory emphasizing compliance and rule adherence as drivers of performance effectiveness (Malayu SP Hasibuan, 2004).

Table 3. Regression Coefficient Results

Variable	Unstandardized Coefficient (B)	Std. Error	Standardized Coefficient (Beta)	t-value	Sig.
Constant	1.506	0.584	–	2.577	0.013
Work Discipline (X)	0.540	0.154	0.434	3.507	0.001

Source: Processed primary data (SPSS output).

The standardized beta coefficient of 0.434 reported in Table 3 indicates a moderate effect size, suggesting that discipline exerts a meaningful yet not excessive influence on productivity variations. The statistical significance value of 0.001 demonstrates that the estimated relationship is unlikely to occur by random sampling fluctuation. Empirical studies in organizational settings similarly report

positive and statistically significant discipline coefficients in performance models (Amini et al., 2022). The magnitude of this coefficient suggests that managerial interventions targeting discipline may yield measurable productivity improvements.

The positive regression coefficient corroborates findings from Andrianto et al. (2023), who identified discipline as a significant predictor of teacher performance within educational institutions. Similar conclusions are reported by Atika et al. (2022), emphasizing that structured rule compliance strengthens organizational commitment and output quality. These convergent findings reinforce the theoretical argument that discipline operates as a behavioral control mechanism enhancing task execution consistency. The present empirical results extend this evidence to a corporate operational context.

The slope value of 0.540 reflects the elasticity of productivity relative to discipline within the observed Likert measurement scale. This indicates that incremental behavioral improvements in punctuality, attendance, and procedural compliance translate into quantifiable performance gains. Bahasoan and Baharuddin (2023) argue that disciplined work behavior functions as a stabilizing factor in performance systems, reducing inefficiencies caused by rule violations. The statistical evidence supports this conceptual proposition in the context of PT. Wira Nusa Abadi Surabaya.

From a behavioral management perspective, discipline strengthens role clarity and operational alignment, both of which contribute to productivity enhancement (Rivai, 2005). The regression constant further indicates that productivity retains a baseline value independent of discipline, suggesting the presence of other contributory organizational factors. Such factors may include motivation, leadership, compensation, or work environment variables as identified in broader HRM literature (Henry Simamora, 2004). Nevertheless, discipline demonstrates a direct measurable contribution within the specified model.

The empirical findings correspond with the work of Fauziah et al. (2025) and Hayati and Farisi (2025), who reported that work discipline significantly improves productivity when combined with supportive managerial practices. The moderate standardized beta implies that discipline explains a substantive portion of productivity variation while leaving room for complementary predictors. This interpretation aligns with multi-factor productivity frameworks that conceptualize discipline as one of several strategic HR components (M. Manullang & Marihot Manullang, 2001). The present regression outcome thus situates discipline within a broader organizational performance architecture.

Comparative evidence from Malik et al. (2025) and Martin and Feinberg (2023) also demonstrates positive discipline coefficients in performance-oriented regression models across different institutional settings. These cross-sectoral confirmations strengthen the external validity of the current results. Empirical consistency across industries suggests that disciplined behavior constitutes a universal organizational performance determinant. The findings contribute incremental empirical confirmation within a manufacturing and service-related company context.

The positive relationship also resonates with productivity analyses in construction and operational sectors, as reported by Situmorang et al. (2023), highlighting discipline as a predictor of output efficiency. Saputra and Mahaputra (2022) further emphasize that disciplined compliance contributes to procedural safety and operational stability. The regression coefficient in the present study reflects this stabilizing function within routine corporate activities. Productivity improvement therefore appears partially contingent upon consistent adherence to established work standards.

Broader human resource paradigms conceptualize discipline as a behavioral control instrument integrated with motivation and organizational culture (Widarko & Anwarodin, 2022). The regression evidence supports this paradigm by demonstrating a statistically significant functional relationship between discipline and productivity. Organizational behavior analyses also indicate that structured discipline enhances employee satisfaction indirectly by clarifying expectations (Vadilla et al., 2025; Zidayatullah et al., 2025). The present coefficient magnitude reflects this structured governance effect in measurable quantitative terms.

The empirical regression model satisfies methodological expectations of causal testing within a census-based quantitative design. The statistically significant slope coefficient confirms the hypothesized directional effect of work discipline on productivity outcomes. Empirical findings from Taopiq and Fuziyati (2024) and Zysman and Costinot (2022) similarly position discipline as a measurable determinant of performance across diverse administrative environments.

Hypothesis Testing Using the t-Statistic

The empirical verification of the research hypothesis was conducted through a partial t-test to determine whether the regression coefficient of work discipline significantly predicts employee work productivity within the specified ordinary least squares model. The testing procedure applied a one-sided significance level of 0.05 with degrees of freedom calculated as 53 based on the formula df = n – k – 1, where n equals 55 respondents and k represents one independent variable, consistent with quantitative testing principles articulated by Husein Umar (1997). The decision rule stipulated that the null hypothesis would be rejected if the calculated t-value exceeded the critical t-table value of 2.006 at $\alpha = 0.05$. This inferential framework aligns with established human resource performance evaluation methodologies emphasizing statistical validation of behavioral determinants (Dessler, 2006).

The SPSS output revealed that the unstandardized regression coefficient for work discipline was 0.540 with a standard error of 0.154, producing a calculated t-value of 3.507 and a significance probability of 0.001. The positive coefficient indicates that higher levels of compliance with organizational rules, punctuality, and procedural adherence are associated with increased employee productivity levels. The magnitude of the t-value substantially exceeds the critical threshold, confirming statistical significance under the predefined criterion. Comparable empirical patterns were identified by Amini et al. (2022), who demonstrated that disciplined conduct significantly strengthens performance outcomes in vocational education settings.

Table 4. Results of the Partial t-Test Analysis

Model	Unstandardized Coefficients (B)	Std. Error	Standardized Coefficients (Beta)	t	Sig.
Constant	1.506	0.584	–	2.577	0.013
Work Discipline (X)	0.540	0.154	0.434	3.507	0.001

Source: SPSS Appendix (Processed Research Data).

The statistical evidence presented in Table 4 confirms that the calculated t-value of 3.507 is greater than the critical value of 2.006, leading to rejection of the null hypothesis and acceptance of the alternative hypothesis. The significance level of 0.001 indicates a probability well below the 5 percent threshold, reinforcing the reliability of the estimated coefficient. The standardized beta coefficient of 0.434 reflects a moderate effect size, suggesting that discipline contributes meaningfully to productivity variation. Similar conclusions were reported by Andrianto et al. (2023), who found that work discipline significantly enhances performance and job satisfaction in educational institutions.

The positive and statistically significant relationship supports theoretical perspectives that conceptualize discipline as a behavioral control mechanism fostering operational consistency and efficiency. Atika et al. (2022) argue that disciplined adherence to organizational norms strengthens commitment and performance alignment, which is consistent with the empirical pattern observed in this study. The magnitude of the coefficient suggests that incremental improvements in rule compliance and attendance regularity translate into measurable productivity gains. This interpretation corresponds with Bahasoan and Baharuddin (2023), who emphasize the strategic importance of discipline in stabilizing employee performance outcomes.

The robustness of the statistical inference is reinforced by prior classical assumption testing, including normality, homoscedasticity, and linearity diagnostics, which confirmed the adequacy of the regression model. The significant intercept value of 1.506 indicates that baseline productivity persists even in the absence of discipline variation, implying the presence of additional contributing factors as suggested in broader human resource frameworks (Henry Simamora, 2004). Nevertheless, the slope coefficient demonstrates that discipline exerts an independent explanatory effect within the empirical model. Costinot and Bahmani-Oskooee (2023) similarly documented a significant discipline-performance linkage in medical administrative contexts, supporting cross-sectoral consistency.

The empirical finding strengthens the conceptual assertion that discipline functions as a foundational element of performance governance within organizational systems. Fauziah et al. (2025)

reported that disciplined employees exhibit higher productivity due to structured compliance with procedural standards, which aligns with the regression results obtained in this analysis. Hayati and Farisi (2025) further identified discipline as a determinant of productivity when integrated with motivational mechanisms, highlighting its strategic managerial relevance. The statistical confirmation in this study positions discipline as a measurable driver of output efficiency in a corporate environment.

Human resource management theory consistently underscores discipline as an instrument for maintaining order, accountability, and task precision within formal organizations (M. Manullang & Marihot Manullang, 2001). The significant t-value observed in this study empirically substantiates these normative principles within the operational context of PT. Wira Nusa Abadi Surabaya. Malayu SP Hasibuan (2004) emphasizes that disciplined employees demonstrate greater adherence to standards, thereby enhancing productivity consistency. The empirical results transform this theoretical proposition into quantifiable evidence supported by regression statistics.

The relationship between discipline and productivity is also corroborated by Malik et al. (2025), who identified a positive and significant effect of discipline on productivity improvement across institutional settings. Martin and Feinberg (2023) similarly observed that disciplined conduct influences employee performance through structured communication mechanisms. The present findings demonstrate comparable statistical strength, reinforcing the argument that discipline operates as a stable predictor across diverse organizational domains. Such empirical convergence enhances the external validity of the observed relationship.

Additional empirical contributions from Pebriani et al. (2023) and Situmorang et al. (2023) highlight that disciplined adherence to work procedures reduces inefficiencies and improves output quality in retail and construction sectors. Saputra and Mahaputra (2022) associate discipline with operational safety and compliance, which indirectly sustains productivity stability. The regression evidence in this study supports these perspectives by demonstrating that structured behavioral conformity contributes directly to productivity enhancement. The t-test outcome therefore confirms the research hypothesis that work discipline significantly influences employee work productivity.

CONCLUSION

The empirical findings confirm that work discipline exerts a statistically significant and positive effect on employee work productivity at PT. Wira Nusa Abadi Surabaya, as evidenced by the regression coefficient of 0.540 and the calculated t-value of 3.507 exceeding the critical threshold of 2.006 at $\alpha = 0.05$. The descriptive analysis indicated that employees demonstrate relatively high levels of compliance with organizational rules, punctuality, and procedural adherence, which correspond with favorable productivity perceptions. Inferential testing through simple linear regression and partial hypothesis evaluation validated that discipline contributes meaningfully to variations in productivity outcomes within the observed sample of 55 employees. The findings are theoretically consistent with contemporary human resource management perspectives that position discipline as a behavioral control mechanism supporting operational efficiency and performance stability. The statistical robustness of the model, supported by classical assumption testing, strengthens the conclusion that enhancing structured work discipline constitutes a viable managerial strategy for improving employee productivity in corporate environments.

REFERENCES

Amini, A., Marliani, M., Elfrianto, E., & Kemal, I. (2022). Work motivation and work discipline on teachers' performance in state vocational schools. *Al-Ishlah: Jurnal Pendidikan*, *14*(2), 2271-2280. https://doi.org/10.35445/alishlah.v14i2.1467

Andrianto, S., Komardi, D., & Priyono, P. (2023). Leadership, work motivation, and work discipline on job satisfaction and teacher performance of Dharma Loka Elementary School Pekanbaru. *Journal of Applied Business and Technology*, *4*(1), 30-38. https://doi.org/10.35145/jabt.v4i1.117

Atika, O., Junaedi, A. T., Purwati, A. A., & Mustafa, Z. (2022). Work discipline, leadership, and job satisfaction on organizational commitment and teacher performance of state junior high school in Bangko District, Rokan Hilir Regency. *Journal of Applied Business and Technology*, *3*(3), 251-262. https://doi.org/10.35145/jabt.v3i3.109

.

Bahasoan, S., & Baharuddin, I. (2023). Work Discipline, Work Motivation and Employee Performance. *Advances in Human Resource Management Research*, *1*(2), 90-101. https://doi.org/10.60079/ahrmr.v1i2.92

Costinot, A., & Bahmani-Oskooee, M. (2023). The influence of work discipline and work spirit on medical employee performance in the women's empowerment office of population control and family planning in the city of Texas. *Medalion Journal: Medical Research, Nursing, Health and Midwife Participation*, *4*(2), 41-48. https://doi.org/10.59733/medalion.v4i2.71

Dessler, G, 2006. Human Resource Management, PT. Indeks, Jakarta.

Fauziah, D. R., Anisah, A., Al Kadri, H., & Utama, H. B. (2025). The Influence of Discipline on Employee Work Productivity. *Journal of Educational Management Research*, *4*(5), 2155-2170. https://doi.org/10.61987/jemr.v4i5.1202

Hayati, N., & Farisi, S. (2025). Improving Employee Productivity: Compensation, Work Discipline, and Motivation. *Jurnal Akuntansi, Manajemen dan Ilmu Ekonomi (Jasmien)*, *5*(03), 447-461. https://doi.org/10.54209/jasmien.v5i03.1436

Henry Simamora 2004, Human Resource Management, 3rd Edition, 2nd Printing, STIE YKPN, Yogyakarta.

Husein Umar, 1997. Human Resources Research. Publisher : Gramedia. Jakarta: Pustaka Utama,

Lestari, S., Watini, S., & Rose, D. E. (2024). Impact of self-efficacy and work discipline on employee performance in sociopreneur initiatives. *Aptisi Transactions on Technopreneurship (ATT)*, *6*(2), 270-284. https://doi.org/10.34306/att.v6i2.403

M. Manullang & Marihot Manu lang, 200 1 . Human Resource Management . Yogyakarta: BPFE Publisher,

Malayu SP Hasibuan 2004, Human Resource Management, Revised Edition, Earth of Akasara,Jakarta

Malik, S., Solong, A., Djaya, S., & Sucipto, K. (2025). The Influence of Discipline and Work Motivation on Employee Productivity Improvement. *Journal of Indonesian Scholars for Social Research*, *5*(1), 121-128. https://doi.org/10.59065/jissr.v5i1.180

Martin, S., & Feinberg, B. (2023). The Effect Of Work Discipline On Medical Medical Employee Performance With Work Communication As An Intervening Variable (Case Study of Serdang Bedagai Hospital Centre Sultan Sulaiman Hospital). *MEDALION JOURNAL: Medical Research, Nursing, Health and Midwife Participation*, *4*(1), 22-30. https://doi.org/10.59733/medalion.v4i1.69

Muttaqiean, F., Cahyaningati, R., & Meilan, R. (2023). Implementation of Management Strategies to Improve Employee Performance at Rural Banks in East Java. *Wiga: Jurnal Penelitian Ilmu Ekonomi*, *13*(2), 257-271. https://doi.org/10.30741/wiga.v13i2.1105

Pebriani, B., Pitriyani, P., & Harahap, N. J. (2023). The effect of work compensation, work discipline and work environment on increasing employee productivity at Suzuya Mall Rantauprapat. *Quantitative Economics and Management Studies*, *4*(6), 1101-1108. https://doi.org/10.35877/454RI.qems1916

Rivai, Veithzal. 2005. Human Resource Management for Companies. Jakarta: Raja Grafindo Persada.

Saputra, F., & Mahaputra, M. R. (2022). Building occupational safety and health (K3): Analysis of the work environment and work discipline. *Journal of law, politic and humanities*, *2*(3), 105-114. https://doi.org/10.38035/jlph.v2i3.91

Situmorang, R., Indra, A. A., Maharani, I. D., & Sari, O. L. (2023). The Effect of Work Discipline on Work Productivity of Construction Employees. *Indonesian Journal Of Civil Engineering Education*, *8*(2), 47-51. https://doi.org/10.20961/ijcee.v8i2.70879

Sutaguna, I. N. T., Yusuf, M., Ardianto, R., & Wartono, P. (2023). The effect of competence, work experience, work environment, and work discipline on employee performance. *Asian Journal of Management, Entrepreneurship and Social Science*, *3*(01), 367-381. https://doi.org/10.63922/ajmesc.v3i01.263

Taopiq, D. T. P., & Fuziyati, H. (2024). The Influence of Work Discipline, Motivation, and Human Resource Development on Employee Performance. *Indonesian Interdisciplinary Journal of Sharia Economics (IIJSE)*, *7*(1), 5710-5737. https://doi.org/10.31538/iijse.v7i3.5104

Tasya, C. Y., Akbar, M. A., & Lina, R. (2024). Work discipline on employee performance through work productivity. *Advances in Human Resource Management Research*, *2*(3), 166-178. https://doi.org/10.60079/ahrmr.v2i3.326

Usmara, 2002. New Paradigm of Human Resource Management. Yogyakarta: Atmara Books.

Vadilla, A. E., Fitria, D., & Wahjono, S. I. (2025). Exploring the Relationship Between Organizational Behavior and Job Satisfaction: Analysis Qualitative from PT. Mayora, TBK. *Golden Ratio of Data in Summary*, *5*(3), 581-590. https://doi.org/10.52970/grdis.v5i3.1261

Widarko, A., & Anwarodin, M. K. (2022). Work motivation and organizational culture on work performance: Organizational citizenship behavior (OCB) as mediating variable. *Golden Ratio of Human Resource Management*, *2*(2), 123-138. https://doi.org/10.52970/grhrm.v2i2.207

Zidayatullah, M. H., Al Qowiy, D. R., & Wahjono, S. I. (2025). Analysis of Leadership Style on Employee Job Satisfaction Level at PT. Indofood CBP Sukses Makmur Tbk. *Golden Ratio of Data in Summary*, *5*(3), 558-565. https://doi.org/10.52970/grdis.v5i3.1280

Zysman, J., & Costinot, A. (2022). The Influence Of Work Discipline And Workload On Employee Performance (Study on Community Empowerment for Helath Service Employees At California District). *Medalion Journal: Medical Research, Nursing, Health and Midwife Participation*, *3*(1), 19-32. https://doi.org/10.59733/medalion.v3i1.15